Previous references.

File No.

1904 25 of 03-04

(Sep. Rev

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 to 6

PART B- FOR November

SUBJECT.

Modification of Rules relating to the Vend of Stamps.

P.T.O.

The minimum limit of Stamp papers or Labels or both to be purchased by Stamp Vendors at a time.

From the Comptroller, Mysore Government, No. 7507—2102, dated the 2nd July 1903.

Requests that in Mudgere Taluk, the minimum value of stamps to be purchased by vendors be reduced to Rs. 12-8-0.

To the Comptroller in Mysore, No. Fl. 268, dated the 30th July 1904.

Enquires whether the public convenience in the Mudgere Taluk cannot be otherwise served than by a change of rules as proposed.

From the Comptroller, Mysore Government, No. 4425—1340, dated the 10th February 1904.

Forwards copy of Deputy Commissioner's letter bringing to notice the inconvenience in having fixed the minimum at Rs. 25 and the necessity for reducing it and proposes to reduce the minimum to Rs. 12-8-0.

To the Comptroller, Mysore Government, No. Fl. 3026, dated the 5th May 1904.

Calls for a report as to the practice in the other districts.

From the Comptroller, Mysore Government, No. 6813—A. C. 1589, dated the 31st May 1904.

Reports that the limit of Rs. 25 fixed by Government is strictly adhered to in all the districts, except Shimoga and Chitaldrug, that the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga, has cited as authority a letter from Mr. Hudson, dated January 1881, while that of Chitaldrug has cited no authority for violating the order.

To the Comptroller, Mysore Government, and Deputy Commissioners of Districts, No. Fl. 2579-87—S. R. 25-03-6, dated the 5th November 1904.

Approves of the modification of Rule 3 of the Subsidiary Rules for the vend of stamps allowing the reduction, in special cases of the minimum value of stamp papers or labels or both, to be sold at a time, to licensed stamp

. O. No. 9522-24—Mis. 1398, dated the 8th December 1902 and No. Fl. 881, dated the 18th September 1903.

Mysore Govt Secretariat
~~of the Dewan of Mysore.~~

Office Note. C & 25 of 1903-04

File No. (if any)

Directions.

No., date and purport of receipts to be entered in red, and office note in black ink in order of dates.—To be written only on one side, and inside the line of margin.

*Serial nos. 1 & current papers- (3).

Branch

Revenue

Subject

Letter no: 7507/2102 dt 2.7.03 ~~7.6.03~~ from the Comptroller, Mysore Govt, recommending that the minimum of Rs 25/- fixed for the sale of Stamps to Vendors at a time may be reduced to Rs 12-8-0 for Mudgere Taluk as a special case.

Note.

In the letter referred to above the comptroller states that in the Mudgere Taluk of the Kadur District no one is willing to undertake the vend of stamp papers under the present rule which directs that the smallest quantity to be sold to a vendor at one time should be Rs 25 worth of Stamp papers or labels, or both, as there is no great demand for them in the Taluk. It is stated that the Deputy Commissioner suggests that the said minimum may be reduced to Rs 12-8-0. The Comptroller recommends the proposed reduction of the minimum for Mudgere as a special case.

The Secretary submitted for orders

D.N. 917/03

*C

*Please see Rule 3 in Govt no: 807 dt 29.9.70 printed at page 57 of the Mysore Stamp Rules and Notifications. (A copy put up).

*Reference is also made to Rule 2 (1) 918 for

Submitted

Copy of the rules required is put up. Please see page 58 of the same work flag (C).

N 9/6/03

May the rule itself be changed
or a further reft called for.
The change can be effected
by substituting 12½ Rs for
25.

18/7/3

To the Private

03116

11537
18-7-03

The rule has been in
force for the last several
years - and is it necessary
to change it - If the IInd
Councillor wishes to do so let
him forward his proposal with a draft
of the rule to be placed before
the Council -

19.VII.03

To the 2nd. Councillor. 21/7/3

21/7/03

98
21.7.03

Call for a further
report as to whether the
public convenience in this Taluk cannot be
secured otherwise than by
a change of the Rules
which have long been
in force and the alteration
of which in
individual instances is
not quite desirable -

22/7/03

23/7/3

21/7/03 Ended with
23/

(Note continued)

A further report has accordingly been received from the Comptroller.

The Dy Commr in his letter to the Comptroller of the 30th Jan 1904, no: x 998, brings to his notice that the rule about the minimum limit for the sale of stamps is not uniformly observed in all the Taluks of his District that the limit is Rs 12-8-0 in Kadur and Ajjampur, for which no authority can be ascertained, and that no limit is prescribed in the Taluk Treasury manual, which, according to the Comptroller's letter no: 568-14/163 of 22.7.98, supersedes all the circulars issued to Dy Commrs from time to time. The Dy Commr suggests that the limit may be fixed at Rs 5/- instead of Rs 25/- as at present. He says that, by reducing the limit, much inconvenience now felt by the vendor may be avoided. A vendor who has in stock, say, Rs 5/- worth of stamps, each of smaller value than a rupee, finds it necessary to buy 2 or 3 stamps of the value of a rupee. The present rule of minimum

x Enclosure to Sl no: 4. (W).

does not permit him to levy them alone. He will consequently be compelled to prepare his indent for the required number of one rupee stamps and for other stamps for the remaining Rs 23/- or Rs 22/-, and thus add unnecessarily to the stock that he already has without any hope of vending them in the near future. The Dy Comr adds that he has issued orders on any account to the Mudgere Taluk not to sell to the Public ~~on any account~~ direct from the Treasury single stamps of value Rs 50/- and downwards. (vide G.O. No: Fl 729-37 ~~9~~ dtd 3.9.03). The Dy Comr finally requests that corrigenda slips may be issued for making the needful correction in para 184 of the Taluk Treasury manual.

This part of the Dy Comr's report has nothing to do with the subject on hand.

× Paper with flag B

In forwarding a copy of the Dy Comr's letter, the Comptroller observes that the practice reported to be obtaining at Kadur and Ajjampur of permitting the vendor to be supplied ~~at~~ with stamps of the value not less than Rs 12-8-0 at a

has not been authorized and is opposed to the Rules. He says he deems it necessary to address a letter to Dy. Comrs. on the subject with a view to ascertain whether the rule has been so violated in their Districts, and if so, to find out the authority for such reduction and the circumstances which led thereto. As however the Dy. Comr. of Kadur is of opinion that the convenience both of the vendor and the public, will be served by the reduction of the present limit of Rs 25/-, the Comptroller recommends that the limit be reduced to Rs 12-8-0 to suit the special circumstances of Mudgere Taluk.

Submitted for orders

RRV
5/4/04

The point is whether the rule should be altered when a complete reply is received from the Comptr. or whether orders altering the rule as regards Mudgere only should be issued.

Submitted to the Secretary for orders

N
9/4/04

Sirs.)

As the matter refers to an alteration proposed in the existing rules it would be well to have all the info complete. Please therefore ask the Comptr to report the result of his Enquiry as to the practice in the other Districts

Yl 29/4/04

H.S. Rest
n 3 Jan

Recd 2/5/04

Subd & with off. letter 3/5/04

(Note continued)

The Comptroller was asked in this Office docket no: H 3026 of 5.5.04 to report the result of his enquiry as to the practice in the other districts. He replies in his letter no: 6813/1589 X of 28th ult May 1904 that the prescribed limit of Rs 25/- has been strictly observed in all the districts except Shimoga and Chitaldrug, that the Dy Commr, Shimoga District, bases his departure from the rule on the Comptroller's letter dated January 1881, which allowed vendors to purchase stamps at a time up to Rs 5/-, that the late Mr Hudson, the then Comptroller, was of opinion that the object of fixing Rs 25/- as the minimum limit for the purchase of stamps was to avoid frequent sales at the treasury and often the sale was so small that Rs 5/- worth of stamps may suffice for 2 or 3 days there was

X Paper under Ex/Coral.

no objection to that limit being observed and that the Dy Comr, Chitaldrug decides no particular authority for deviation from the prescribed limit. The Comptr further states that the latter Dy Comr has been informed by him to put a stop to the practice at once.

Submitted with reference to (now the first) II Councillor's remarks dated 22.7.03 at page 3 of this note.

7/6/04 Sd/ The Secretary

7.6.04

Subd to the 1st Councillor

7/6/04

1558 8.6.04

It seemed at least that the 25 ~~Rupee~~ minimum is not being strictly enforced in the Districts of Kadur, Shimoga and Chitaldrug. A good case for a reduction in exceptional cases has been made out. But I would not reduce it further than by one half. The rule may be altered by the insertion of the word "ordinarily" between

9/6

Subd to Secy with draft allowed

Rule 11/6/04

"will" and "be" in the last but one line and by the addition at the end of the following; "In special cases however the minimum limit may be reduced to Rs 12-8-" under the orders of the Dy Comr who should record his reasons for such reduction and report the same for the information of the Controller".

9/6/04.

Submitted to the Dewan

18/6/04

21/6/04

18516
21.6.04.

Approved by Hon'ble Dewan. This may be done

26.VIII.04

To Registrar
Circular please

28.8.1904

29/8/04

Done 29/8

P.T.O.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. of 190

Serial No.

I agree to the proposed to alter the rules by reducing the minimum limit to Rs 12½. It will certainly enable poor Vendors to make small purchases to meet public demand but I feel I cannot rely the Commissioner's discretionary powers; let the reduction be absolute.

D. Macleod
2/9/04

B+ pm/

C.424

1871/29.9.04

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1385/4.9.04

Council matter for disposal by Circulation.

Subject.

Amendment of Rule 3 of the Subsidiary Rules for the end of stamps.

Circulated to Councillors.

By Order,

30th August 1904.

Secretary.

C. Srinivasiengar Esq., First Councillor.

Seen by the Councillor and opinion recorded.

41/30-8-04. Rao Bahadur C. Madiah Esq., Second Councillor.

Seen
Vide my
Remarks

Seen 3/9

Submitted to the
Dewan Sahib
&
2/9/1904

Went round
all
6.IX.04

Seen 8/9

To Registrar
For submission
8.9.1904

G. R. S. 16

14

Submitted to His Highness.

The addition of the proposed clause to Rule 3 of the Subsidiary Rules for the Vend of Stamps may be approved. The smallest quantity of stamps or labels which a Vender can purchase at one time at present from a Treasury is limited to ₹25. This limit however, works some hardship in outlying Taluks where there may not be much demand for stamps as in Mudgere, mentioned by the Deputy Commissioner of Kadur. In the Districts of Chitaldroog, Kadur and Shimoga, the practice is already at variance with the rule. The addition now proposed gives power to Deputy Commissioners to reduce the minimum to ₹10 in special cases, giving intimations of such reduction with reasons to the Comptroller.

3. X. 84

G.R.S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

S.R. File No. 25 of 1903-04

Serial No.

To Comptroller & Dy Comrs of Distts.

No. H2579-87, dt the 5th Nov 1904.

Approves of the modification 'e

3 of the subsidiary rules for the Vend

of Stamps allowing the reduction in

Special Cases from Rs 25/- to Rs 10/-

NOTE.—Contn. sheet.

MGCPB—10,000—31-8-04.

(2)

To

The Comptroller,

Mysore Govt.

D

No H 268

dated 30-7-03

30——

His letter no: 7507/2102

27-6-03 recommending that the minimum limit of Rs 25/- fixed for the purchase of stamps by vendors may, in the case of the Mudgere Taluk, be reduced to Rs 12-8-0.

Referring to the above, has the honour to ~~call~~ enquire ~~for a further report as to~~ whether public convenience in the Mudgere Taluk can not be served otherwise than by a change of the Rules, which

which have long been in
force and the alteration
of which in individual
instances is not quite
desirable.

S.O
25/7/03
for Secy.
23/7/03

Rs 12-8-0 at a time as stated by the Deputy-
Commissioner has not been authorised and
is opposed to Rules.

As similar violation of the Rules —
may exist in other districts, I have deemed it
necessary to address the Deputy Commissioner
of those districts enquiring whether the —
minimum limit has been strictly observed
or if reduced to point out the authority, and
to state the circumstances under which the —
reduction was allowed.

The Deputy Commissioner being of the
opinion that if the present limit of Rs 25 be —
reduced it will serve the convenience both of
the vendor and the public and taking into
consideration the special circumstances
of the locality the minimum in the case of the
Mudgere Taluq may be reduced to Rs 12-8-
as already recommended in this office letter
No. 7507/2102 dated 27th June 1903.

The copy of the license referred to by the
Deputy Commissioner is enclosed in original.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

H Eagles

Officiating Comptroller

No 19
in 1

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Kadur District (3/a)
Chickmagalur 30 January 1904

From M. Narayana Rau. Esqr
Deputy Commr

To H. Eagles. Esqr
Offg. Comptroller &c &c
Government of Mysore

Sir,

Referring to your docket No. 3582/1070 dated 23rd December 1903, I have the honor to state that the question now submitted for consideration relates to the minimum limit of Stamps, — general or Court fee, to be issued from Treasuries to licensed Vendors ada Item Para 3 of Comptroller's Circular No 807 dated 29th September 1890 lays down "that the smallest quantity to be thus sold to a Vendor at one time will be Rs 25/- worth of Stamps papers or labels or both". This rule is not uniformly observed

in

in all the Talugs of this District. The Vendors at Kaidar and Khijanpur are as a matter of fact supplied with general and Court fees stamps of the value of not less than Rs 12-8-0 each a day (Vide Copy of licenses appended) I am unable however to ascertain the authority for this restriction of the prescribed minimum limit. The Manual for Salary Treasuries which, according to Comptroller's letter No. 568-14/163 dated 22nd July 1878, "supersedes all the Circulars issued from time to time by the Comptroller's Office" prescribes no minimum limit for the issue of general and Court-fee Stamps at a time.

2. The points for consideration are: (1) Whether a minimum limit is at all necessary in respect of the issue of Stamps to licensed Vendors; and (2)

and (2) if necessary whether the limit of Rs 25 prescribed by the Circular of 1870 which has not been repealed (See Government Proceedings no 7676/23-4 dated 3rd September 1903) is excessive and requires to be reduced.

My own opinion is that there should be a limit of value to the issue of stamps from Treasuries to licensed Vendors in order to prevent numerous & frequent transactions with them, but that it should be fixed at Rs 5/- instead of Rs 25/- Under the present rule, the Stamp Vendor in the interior of the District finds that he is compelled to increase his stock unnecessarily every time he is out of stock with respect to a particular denomination of Stamps. For Example, a Vendor who has no stock of one Rupee Stamps but has on hand a supply of the ordinarily used Stamps of

lower

* Extract from the monthly rpt: is put up. (paper with flag V).

Cover Values with Rs50, will be compelled to draw up his indent for say two or three stamps of one rupee and for stamps of lower value for 23 or 22 rupees. Again, if two days after this indent, he requires two stamps of Rs2/- each, he will have to buy stamps of lower value for Rs21. He will thus have to keep adding to his stock of stamps of small value without any prospect of vending them in the near future, unless he resorts to the practice of selling a number of stamps of small value to make up the required higher value. For these reasons, the smaller the minimum limit to be placed on the Vendors indent, the better for the convenience both of the Vendor and the public. Single stamps of the required values would then be easily available and the Vendors will not have to invest more money than actually necessary to keep themselves in full stock.

(Seal)

Memorandum

No. 75.

Subject:- Application of Sh. Ghulam Mohammad.

Ref:- Your memo No. 2194 dated 20-6-94

Sh. Ghulam Mohammad has applied for the grant of Arms licence. He has stated that he has been appointed as Chowkidar in the village Kot Ghulam Mohammad. He has also stated that he has been threatened by some persons. He has not mentioned the names of the persons who have threatened him. He has also not given the reasons for the threats. He has not produced any evidence in support of his application. He has not produced any certificate from the Police Station. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Panchayat. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Lambardar. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Patwari. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Sarpanch. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Numberdar. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Zaildar. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Inamdar. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Jagirdar. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Malguzar. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Kanungo. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Tehsildar. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Collector. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Deputy Commissioner. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Commissioner. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Governor. He has not produced any certificate from the Village President. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Prime Minister. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Chief Minister. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Minister. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Secretary. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Assistant. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Clerk. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Peon. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Watchman. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Sweeper. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Barber. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Washerman. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Potter. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Blacksmith. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Carpenter. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Mason. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Labourer. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Farmer. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Shopkeeper. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Trader. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Businessman. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Industrialist. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Contractor. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Engineer. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Doctor. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Lawyer. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Judge. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Magistrate. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Police Officer. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Constable. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Havildar. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Naik. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Sepoy. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Soldier. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Officer. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Commander. He has not produced any certificate from the Village General. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Admiral. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Air Marshal. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Air Commodore. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Group Captain. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Wing Commander. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Squadron Leader. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Flight Lieutenant. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Flying Officer. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Pilot Officer. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Warrant Officer. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Sergeant. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Corporal. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Lance Corporal. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Private. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Recruit. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Cadet. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Midshipman. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Lieutenant. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Captain. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Commodore. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Rear Admiral. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Vice Admiral. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Admiral. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Fleet Admiral. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Field Marshal. He has not produced any certificate from the Village General. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Lieutenant General. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Major General. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Brigadier. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Colonel. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Lieutenant Colonel. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Major. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Captain. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Lieutenant. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Second Lieutenant. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Warrant Officer. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Sergeant. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Corporal. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Lance Corporal. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Private. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Recruit. He has not produced any certificate from the Village Cadet.

(sd) K. Mahmood Ali
Deputy Commissioner
Kadus District

Copy
Deputy Commissioner

(4) (8)

The Comptroller to the Govt of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

No. H 3026

D. 5/5/04

Correspondence ending with the Comptroller's letter no: 4425/1340 of the 9th February 1904 in the matter of the reduction, in the case of the Mudgere Taluk, of the minimum limit. Rs 25/- fixed for the purchase of Stamps by Vendors.

Referring to the above has the honour to observe that, as the matter relates to an alteration proposed in the existing Rules, it would be well to have all the information complete. The Comptroller

is therefore requested to report the result of his enquiry as to his practice in the other Districts.

"B. O"

3/5/14

for Offg Secy

3/5/14

Compd. 4/5

1881 for allowing Vendors to purchase at a time up to Rs 5/ the then Comptroller, the late Mr. Hudson, being of opinion that the object of fixing Rs 25/ as the minimum amount of stamps which a Vendor can purchase at a time was to avoid frequent sales at the Treasury and when the sales are so small that Rs 5/- worth of stamps may suffice for 2 or 3 days there was no objection to that limit being observed.

The Deputy Commissioner Chitaldroog cites no particular authority for deviating from the prescribed limit and he was informed in reply to direct the Amildars to put a stop to the practice at once.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

H. Eagles
Offg. Comptroller.
JWW

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Financial, dated 5th November 1904.

READ—

Correspondence ending with letter No. 6813—Ac. 1589, dated the 31st May 1904, from the Comptroller to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, regarding the reduction, in special cases, of the minimum value of stamp papers or labels or both to be sold at one time to licensed stamp vendors in District or Taluk Treasuries from Rs. 25 to Rs. 12-8-0.

No. FL. 2579-87—R. F. 25-03-6, DATED BANGALORE, 5th NOVEMBER 1904.

ORDER THEREON.—The Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore are pleased to direct that Rule 3 of the Subsidiary Rules for the vend of stamps laid down in the late Deputy Accountant General's Circular No. 807 of the 29th September 1870, be modified by the addition of the following clause at the end:—

"In special cases, however, the minimum limit may be reduced to ten rupees under the orders of the Deputy Commissioner who should record his reasons for such reduction and intimate the same to the Comptroller for his information."

B. K. VENKATAVARADAIYENGAR,
Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Dept.

To—The Comptroller to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.
The Deputy Commissioners of Districts.

(6)

1904-05

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

FINANCIAL (SEPARATE REVENUE)

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 5th November 1904

No. Fl. 2579-87
R. F. 25-03-6

Modifying Rule 3 of the Subsidiary Rules for the vend of stamps allowing the reduction in special cases, of the minimum value of stamp papers or labels or both to be sold at one time to licensed stamp vendors in District or Taluk Treasuries from Rs. 25 to Rs. 10.

SBP—23-11-04—200